










PCN

# Organizational Insights From Leading BNPL Players












# BNPL Workforce Breakdown in Europe

Company	Employee	Eng/ Non-Eng ratio	Eng/ PM ratio	Eng+IT/ Non-Eng ratio	Open jobs
 Klarna	4645	0.47	10.8	0.60	12
 Riverty	2184	0.16	3.9	0.33	97
 Oney	1907	0.04	1.7	0.18	45
 Ratepay	193	0.32	5.9	0.74	9
 Scalapay	220	0.24	6.0	0.34	21
 Alma	523	0.15	6.2	0.22	24
 Billie	150	0.40	3.9	0.56	18
 In3	35	0.03	1.0	0.09	2
 Payla	31	0.48	5.0	0.94	2

- **Klarna** has the **highest Eng/PM** ratio (10.8), meaning each PM manages over 10 engineers, indicating a tech-heavy product delivery model with minimal managerial layers.
- **Payla** has the **highest Eng/Non-Eng** ratio (0.48), meaning nearly 1 engineer for every 2 non-engineers, showing a strong tech bias despite its small size.
- **Ratepay** has a **high Eng+IT/Non-Eng** ratio (0.74), showing nearly 3 tech staff for every 4 non-tech, making it one of the most tech-leaning white-label players.
- **In3** and **Oney** have very **low Eng/Non-Eng** ratios (0.03–0.04), typical of firms relying heavily on operations or outsourced tech.



# Licensing Landscape of BNPL Providers

Company	License
 <b>Klarna</b>	Credit Institution (Bank), regulated by Swedish FSA
 <b>Riverty</b>	Payment Institution, by BaFin
 <b>Oney</b>	Credit Institution (Bank), regulated by ACPR (France)
 <b>Ratepay</b>	Payment Institution (PSD2), by BaFin
 <b>Scalapay</b>	Payment Institution, regulated by Bank of Italy
 <b>Alma</b>	Payment Institution and Financing Company, regulated by ACPR
 <b>Billie</b>	Licensed Factoring Provider, regulated by BaFin
 <b>In3</b>	Operates under Dutch BNPL code of conduct (via AFM)
 <b>Payla</b>	No standalone license (operates via partners)

## Diverse Regulatory Models

- The BNPL ecosystem in Europe includes a mix of fully licensed banks (e.g., Klarna, Oney), regulated fintechs (e.g., Scalapay, Alma, Riverty), and unlicensed platforms operating under exemptions or partner arrangements (e.g., Payla, In3).

## Strategic Trade-Offs

- Licensed entities often enjoy greater operational independence and credibility, but face higher compliance costs and regulatory scrutiny. This impacts the ratios stated on the previous slide.
- Unlicensed or partner-based models enable faster market entry and lower overhead but limit control over risk, capital, and customer experience.



# BNPL Company Organizational Insights

## Engineering-Heavy (30%+ Engineering)

**Klarna (37%), Billie (31%), Payla (34%)**

- Tech-Driven: Focus on proprietary platforms & risk models.
- B2B Orientation: Billie, Payla emphasize integrations.
- Low Sales Focus: Rely on digital growth, not large sales teams.

## Sales-Heavy (20%+ Sales)

**Scalapay (24%), Alma (20%)**

- Merchant Acquisition: Rapid expansion, retail onboarding.
- Regional Growth: Leaders in Italy (Scalapay), France (Alma).
- Strategic Partnerships: Boost volumes via e-commerce links.

## Balanced Structure

**Riverty (Eng 14%, IT 12%, Ops 11%)**

**Oney (Finance 12%, IT 12%, Sales 10%)**

- Bank/BPO Roots: Corporate models, strong compliance.
- Process Efficiency: Stable, large merchant bases.
- Less Tech Disruption: Focus on risk, finance, IT.

## Exceptions

- **Ratepay:** It's a white-label service with a strong emphasis on engineering (25%) and a focus on information technology (18%).
- **In3:** Focused on Business Development (34%), likely due to early-stage growth and partnership reliance (Mollie).



# White-label vs Branded BNPL

## Organizational and Strategic Differences

Aspect	White-label BNPLs (e.g., Ratepay, Payla)	Branded BNPLs (e.g., Klarna, Scalapay, Alma, In3)
Product & Tech Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tightly integrated</li><li>• Focus on backend</li><li>• APIs, and partner integration</li><li>• Minimal consumer UX needs</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Separate Product and Tech teams</li><li>• Heavy focus on consumer UX/UI and merchant platforms</li></ul>
Risk, Fraud, Compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Centralized risk/compliance focused on merchant credit and regulatory adherence</li><li>• Lean risk teams</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Dedicated consumer fraud, credit, AML teams</li><li>• High-volume risk ops required</li></ul>
Sales & Business Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lean, specialized sales for enterprise deals</li><li>• Deep B2B partnerships</li><li>• Few but high-value clients</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Large sales teams for merchant acquisition</li><li>• Focus on market penetration and SME growth</li></ul>
Operations & Customer Service	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Minimal consumer support</li><li>• Focus on merchant onboarding and technical ops</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Large-scale consumer service teams</li><li>• Support across multiple regions</li></ul>
Scalability & Cost Structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Scales via partnerships</li><li>• Lower variable costs</li><li>• High fixed costs in tech/compliance</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Scales via consumer growth</li><li>• High marketing and support costs</li><li>• Region-specific compliance challenges</li></ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• White-labels focus more on <b>backend</b></li><li>• White-labels have <b>High Technical Agility</b></li><li>• White-labels <b>grow via partners</b></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Branded focus on <b>consumers</b></li><li>• Branded need <b>big risk teams</b></li><li>• Branded grow via <b>users</b></li></ul>



# How BNPL Firms Structure Their Core Functions

Company	Product-Tech Split	Risk Setup	Outsourcing
Klarna	Separate, domain-led	Central, some outsourced	500+ roles outsourced, AI-driven
Riverty	No CPO, under CTO	Strong centralized	Fully in-house, BPO model
Oney	Separate, bank-style delivery	Centralized, shared risk team	Mainly in-house, some IT flex
Ratepay	Separate CPO/CTO	Specialized risk & legal team	All in-house
Scalapay	Informal CPO, strong Tech	Internal risk, external fraud	In-house core, external tools
Payla	CPO/Head of Engineering setup	In-house risk engine, small team	Customer support, partly

**Outliers:**

- **Klarna:** Most CXOs, AI-led ops, heavy outsourcing (500+).
- **Riverty:** No CPO, product under CTO, full in-house (BPO roots).
- **Alma:** Risk inside Ops, large Ops focus (32% workforce).
- **Scalapay:** No formal CPO, hybrid internal-external fraud strategy.

**Key Takeaways:**

- Branded BNPLs: Full CXOs, mixed in-house/outsourced.
- White-labels: Lean leadership, in-house compliance, enterprise focus.
- Small BNPLs: Minimal structure, partner-reliant, early-stage growth.
- Product & Tech Separation: Most have distinct CPO/CTO roles.
- Centralized Risk & Compliance: Especially in regulated firms.





# BNPL Org Models

Efficient Structures for Regulated, Tech-Driven Growth

## How to Set Up an Efficient BNPL Org Structure:

- Agile Squads + Strong Leadership: Mix Product, Tech, and Analysts in small teams, with clear heads for Product, Tech, and Risk to guide strategy.
- Centralized Risk + Embedded Experts: Set risk policies centrally, but embed risk/compliance staff in product teams for compliance-by-design.
- Outsource Smartly: Outsource non-core roles like customer service in small markets, keep Product, Risk, and Merchant Relations in-house.

## Small vs Big BNPLs:

- Small: Lean teams, partner reliance, early automation, gradual outsourcing.
- Big: Full leadership layers, centralized risk, tech investment, selective outsourcing.

Dimension	Small Players (e.g., In3, Payla, Billie, Alma)	Large Players (e.g., Klarna, Riverty, Oney)
Product & Tech	Usually separate, but roles may overlap	Separate chiefs; formal cross-functional teams
Risk & Compliance	Embedded in ops or legal; lean teams	Independent departments with C-level leaders
Fraud Prevention	In-house with off-the-shelf tools	Internal + advanced analytics + 3rd-party vendors
Outsourcing	Minimal; leverage payment partners	Outsourced ops (CS, AML ops); core remains in-house
Org. Complexity	Flat, 1–2 layers	Multi-layered: CEO > Chiefs > Domain Leads > Teams
Audit & Oversight	Often part of CFO/legal	Formalized with board-level reporting
Product & Tech	Lean, generalist engineers	Deep specialization in AI/ML, platform, data infrastructure
Risk & Compliance	1–2 hubs	Distributed, multi-country hubs (e.g., Klarna’s Berlin, Stockholm, London)



# Key Takeaways

## 1. Tech vs. Sales Focus Shapes BNPL Strategies

- BNPL firms with banking or regulatory licenses have a higher engineer-to-non-engineer ratio, driven by larger risk, compliance, and ops teams. In contrast, unlicensed or exempt players run leaner, with tighter product-tech integration and smaller ops teams.
- Klarna, Billie, and Payla are tech-focused, with 30%+ of staff in engineering, prioritizing proprietary platforms and lean sales. In contrast, Scalapay and Alma emphasize sales (20–24% of staff) to fuel merchant growth.

## 2. Enterprise vs. Consumer Growth Models

- White-label BNPLs like Ratepay and Payla pursue enterprise deals with lean sales teams and deep B2B partnerships.
- Branded BNPLs like Klarna and Scalapay scale through consumer growth, needing large sales and support teams.

## 3. Organizational Structure Drives Efficiency

- Klarna stands out with AI-led, heavily outsourced ops (500+ roles) and full CXO leadership, enabling high scalability.
- Riverty and Oney follow bank-like models, with centralized risk, and balanced teams.
- Small players like In3 and Payla are flat, partner-reliant, and focused on lean early-stage scaling.







Want to get more insights?  
**Get in touch with us!**



**Rogier Rouppe van der Voort**  
CEO of PCN

✉ [rogier@teampcn.com](mailto:rogier@teampcn.com)

☎ +31 20 303 0257

[teampcn.com](https://teampcn.com)